## **National Judicial Academy**

## **P-1412: National Conference for High Court Justices on Election Law** $21^{st} - 22^{nd}$ September, 2024

**Programme Coordinator** : Ms. Nitika Jain, Faculty

**No. of Participants** : 28 No. of forms received : 23

I. OVERALL					
	PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a.	The objective of the programme was clear to me	91.30	8.70	-	20. Good.
b.	The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	65.22	34.78	-	20. Good.
c.	Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	69.57	30.43	-	20. Good.
d.	I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	69.57	26.09	4.34	20. Good.
e.	Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	86.36	13.64	-	20. Good.
		II.	KNOWLEDGE		
	PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
Th	The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a.	Useful to my work	70.00	30.00	-	20. Good.
b.	Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	56.52	43.48	-	20. Good.
c.	Up to date	78.26	21.74	-	20. Good.
d.	Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	69.57	30.43	-	20. Good.

e. Related to International Legal Norms	52.38	47.62	-	20. Good.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	73.91	26.09	-	20. Good.
b. The programme was methodologies viz.	an adequate combin	ation of the follow	ing	
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	68.18	31.82	-	20. Good.
(ii) Case studies were relevant	72.73	27.27	-	20. Good.
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	77.27	22.73	-	20. Good.
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	77.27	18.18	4.55	20. Good.
	IV SESSI	ONS WISE VETTI	ING	
		Parameters		
	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
Session	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	91.30	8.70	82.35	17.65
2	81.82	18.18	76.47	23.53
3	77.27	22.73	76.47	23.53
4	72.73	27.27	70.59	29.41
5	86.36	13.64	82.35	17.65
	V. PROG	RAMME MATERI	IALS	
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	86.96	13.04	- (%)	20. Good.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	78.26	21.74	-	-

c. The content was	78.26	21.74	_	
organized and easy to follow	70.20	21,/7	-	-

	VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS			
1.	Three most important	Great learning on election cases and programmes.		
	learning	2. Added to knowledge. Addition & broadening of perspective.		
	achievements of this Programme	5. Topics selected for discussion are very much relevant today and guidelines		
	Fiogramme	provided by experts in dealing with election petition are effective and useful.		
		7. Exposure to the relevant statutes. Exposure to the relevant case laws. Exposure to the latest technology.		
		8. 1. Pros and Cons of election related law. 2. Anti-defection law. 3. AI and block chain.		
		12. Various aspects of election law have been discussed by speaker and participants which clarified many ideas.		
		13. Persons from different fields were invited. Their knowledge helped a lot.		
		14. Disposal transformation is very useful.		
		15. Nature of right to the statutory right – constitutional right. Expansive interpretation of power of election commission. Difference between digitalization and digitization.		
		16. 1. Role of judiciary to ensure free and fair elections. 2. Expeditious disposal of election petitions. 3. ICT advancement in Indian judiciary.		
		19. Session 4: Election Commission: Supervisory and Regulatory Jurisdiction.		
		20. It has given an insight to the subject as a whole.		
		23. All the participants were highly insightful for the judicial function.		
2.	Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	2. Dr. Jaya Prakash Narayan.		
		7. Deliberation by Dr. Justice S. Muralidhar.		
		8. Role of courts in handling electron petition as the high court Gouhati being the election cases being foled and adjudicated is key issued.		
		9. All were useful.		
		13. <b>Session 1:</b> Electoral Integrity: Legal Framework and Enforcement; <b>Session 2:</b> Role of Courts in Handling Election Petitions and <b>Session 4:</b> Election Commission: Supervisory and Regulatory Jurisdiction.		
		14. Yes, in regular day to day election petition, ensuring the qualitative judgment case be written.		
		16. Every session is highly relevant.		
		19. Session 1: Electoral Integrity: Legal Framework and Enforcement.		
		20. Session 1: Electoral Integrity: Legal Framework and Enforcement; Session 2: Role of Courts in Handling Election Petitions – are very impressive in terms of content and delivery.		
3.	Does the	2. Dr. Jaya Prakash Narayan.		
	programme need further modulations	7. Session 3: Funding: Transparency and Accountability.		
	or change	8. Session 2: Role of Courts in Handling Election Petitions.		

		19. Session 2: Role of Courts in Handling Election Petitions- no one has discussed about election petitions.
sugge	Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	1. Tremendous efforts by team NJA. May be audio visuals used be adopted more after's.
may		7. Resource persons to be confined to retired judges, Eminent academicians but certainly not politically affiliated parsons.
progr		8. Retired judges may be invited on resource persons.
effec		18. As far as election law is concerned, scope & jurisdiction of designate election judge of high court as a tribunal should be included in discussion and sessions.
		20. Facility in the both rooms to be enhance if possible.
		22. Came here to know how & when judiciary should intervene in the election process. Found the emphasis on the general nature of how elections are conducted in the country.